

Organismo Supervisor de la Inversión en Energía y Minería



CRITICAL CONTROLS for TAILINGS DAMS

HARVEY MCLEOD Klohn Crippen Berger Ltd. October 25, 2018

CRITICAL CONTROLS

- Framework
- Bowties
- Material Unwanted Events (MUE)
- Tailings Dams Failure Modes and Controls
- Monitoring Methods for Critical Controls
- Monitoring Technologies





Bowties



- Good tool for communicating and "binning" the critical controls
- Sometimes overly complicated and lacking in substance or missing failure modes
- Should be underpinned with a failure modes effects assessment (FMEA)





Dam failure and release of tailings (assumed to be catastrophic)

- Foundation
- Dam Slope
- Piping
- Overtopping

<u>Release of tailings/water – environmental effects (potential to</u> <u>lead to catastrophic failure)</u>

- Decant
- Erosion
- Geohazards
- Water Contamination

MUE - Foundation ---- Preventative Controls

Material Unwanted Events (Threat/Causes) [Failure Modes]	Preventative Controls	
Foundation	Site investigation	
	Dam design	
	Deformation monitoring	
	Pore pressure monitoring	
	Design – static stability	
	Design – seismic stability	

- Weak layers
- Undrained shear strength
- Apparent over consolidation due to desiccation



MUE – Dam Slope --- Preventative Controls

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Dam Slope	Material characterization
	QA/QC
	Design – static stability
	Design – seismic stability
	Monitoring – pore pressure
	Monitoring - deformations
	-

- Upstream dams are vulnerable
- Static liquefaction and undrained behavior
- Dams over 35 m high begin to develop high stress concentrations
- Seismic analysis hazard and response



MUE – Dam Slope --- Piping

	U	
Piping	Design - limiting hydraulic	
	gradients	
	Design - filter compatibility	
	QA/QC of filters	

- Omai
- Tailings are "forgiving"
- Designs to reduce hydraulic gradients
- Hydraulic fracturing risk when rockfill placed upstream of core





CORE ZONE ROCK FILL / EARTH FILL



MUE – Overtopping --- Preventative Controls

Overtopping	Design criteria
	Design -flood storage
	capacity
	Design - spillway capacity
	Monitoring water levels
	Monitoring flows

- Kolontar and Baia Mare
- Design criteria varies Internationally
- Dam break analysis often underestimate consequences



Romania

MUE – Decant, Erosion and Geohazards--- Preventative Controls





Flood Erosion Coal Mine BC

- River erosion at toe of dam
- Decant break
- Rock or snow avalanches



Decant Failure Kazakhstan

MUE – Environment --- Preventative Controls

- Geochemical characterization
- Geomembrane liners
- Risks with dry stack of acidic tailings

	Waste and water	
	characterization	
Water Contamination	Design of seepage controls	
(groundwater and	Design of filters for ARD	
surface water)	precipitates	
	Monitoring water quality	
	Monitoring water flows	



	Aquatic	Drinking	Agricultural	"Dairy" Cattle	Wildlife
Cadmium	.000 02	None	0.000 5	0.080	None
Cyanide	0.005	0.20	None	None	None
Copper	0.007	0.50	0.20	0.30	0.30
Molybdenum	0.002	0.25	0.05	0.08-0.2	0.05
Zinc	0.033	0.5	2.0	2.0	None
Sulphate Selenium	100 0.002	500 0.010	None 0.010	None 0.030	None 0.004

MUEs --- Critical Controls and Mitigating Controls



Monitoring Methods for Critical Controls

	Site Investigation	Peer review	
	Dam design	External review boards	
	Dam design	Dam Safety Review (DSR)	
Foundation	Deformation monitoring	Survey monuments and Inclinometers	
Foundation	Pore pressure monitoring	Piezometers, Dam Safety Inspection (<u>DSI)</u>	
	Design – static stability	Peer review	
	Design – seismic stability	External review boards	
		DSR	
	Material characterization	As-constructed records	
	QA/QC	Data records	
		DSI	
	Design – static stability	Peer review	
Dam Slope	Design estimate tability	External review boards	
	Design – seismic stability	DSR	
	Monitoring – pore pressure	Piezometers, DSI	
	Monitoring - deformations	Slope surveys, Lidar, inclinometers, drones, satellite, DSI	



	Design - limiting hydraulic	Peer review	
Piping	gradients	External review boards	
	Design - filter compatibility	DSR	
		As constructed records	
	QA/QC of filters	As constructed record, DSI	
Overtopping	Design criteria	Peer review	
	Design -flood storage capacity	External review boards	
	Design - spillway capacity	DSR	
	Monitoring water levels	Level recorders, cameras, DSI	
	Monitoring flows	Flow meters, cameras, DSI	

Monitoring Methods for Critical Controls

	U	· · ·	
	Design decant structure	Peer review External review boards DSR	
Decant	Operations and maintenance DSI		
	procedures	Camera surveys and deformation monitoring	
	Monitoring flows	Flow meters, DSI	
Erosion		Peer review	
	Design erosion controls	External review boards	
		DSR	
	Inspection and maintenance	DSI	
Geohazards		Peer review	
	Design geohazard controls	External review boards	
		DSR	
	Monitoring slopes, snowpack, deformations	Satellite, Lidar, inclinometers, snow gauges	

Monitoring Methods for Critical Controls

	doronnaciono	
Water Contamination	Waste and water characterization	Peer review
	Design of seepage controls	External review boards
	Design of filters for ARD	DSR
	precipitates	
		Peer Review
	Monitoring water quality	Real time sensors, e.g. pH, EC, Neutron probes
		Lysimeters
		Sampling and testing
	Monitoring water flows	Stream gauges, seepage collection weirs



Equipment Measuring Device and Methods	Parameters Measured	Application	Research / Experience
	Monitoring of Pore P	ressures or Moisture Char	nges
Electric piezometers with telemetry to process plant or phone	Pore pressure and temperature	Monitor pore pressure changes due to loading and changes in hydrogeological conditions	Standard practice at many mines. Strings at multiple depths are preferred
TDR, Neutron Probes	Saturations levels and temperature		
Self Potential	Passive electrical method which is sensitive to the flow of seepage water	Electrodes are placed on the dam surface both for investigation and monitoring	Research and <u>long term</u> field measurements have been performed especially in US, Canada, France and Sweden.
Distributed Fiber Optic sensing	Temperature and strain are measured in optical fibers using laser light.	Cables are installed in new or old dams for seepage evaluation using temperature and strain analyses to assess movements	Basic research since 1996 in Germany and Sweden. Further research especially in France, Austria, the Netherlands, UK and US. Challenges are calibrating measurements to site conditions.

Monitoring Technologies



Monitoring Technologies



1	1	Certification Certification	1	
Monitoring of Stresses				
Load cells	Stress	Monitor stresses at different locations in the dam	Applicable for high <u>dams</u> sensitive to stress and strain changes.	
	Other Mor	nitoring Technologies		
Multi-beam bathymetry	Echo-sounding	Bathymetric survey of ponded water	High resolution underwater surveying producing a digital 3-D representation of the surfaces. Used on tailings ponds with a miniature submarine.	
Drones and cameras	Visual record	Monitoring of spillways, beach lengths	Allows visual reconnaissance on a continual or periodic basis.	
Seismographs (accelerometer)	Earthquake acceleration	Monitoring attenuation of earthquakes and the seismic response of the dam.	Common in high seismic setting.	
Resistivity	Active electrical method that can detect changed material properties	Electrodes are placed on the crest or at the dam toe.	Research and <u>long term</u> field measurements have been performed especially in US, Canada, France and Sweden.	
Ground Penetrating Radar (GPR)	Detect changes in properties of near surface soil layers, localization of defects or voids in concrete structures	Nondestructive and rapid method based on measuring transmission time for radar signals reflected from or transmitted through a media	Localization of seepage zones, sinkholes and deterioration of cores in embankment dams. Monitor remedial grouting of dams. Limited survey depth	
Water quality sensors	Electrical conductivity and pH	Monitoring water quality to optimize attenuation/mixing with receiving waters		

Summary and Barriers to Critical Controls Applications

- Critical Controls is a good framework and is adopted by ICMM member companies
- Risk assessment methodology (low probability high consequence)
- Bowties, risk assessments and critical controls can become overly generalized and complex
- Over-reliance on designers

BC Ministry of Mines --- Revision of Regulations --- Post Mt. Polley

- Ministry of Energy and Mines Health Safety Reclamation Code Revision
- Revised following Mount Polley failure
- Reviewed legislation worldwide
- Developed components of good practice
- Generally not prescriptive



Regulatory Review – BC Ministry of Energy & Mines

- Regulations in some jurisdictions, such as Russia and Napoleonic Law countries, are typically more
 prescriptive with respect to design requirements and methodology.
- Regulation of tailings dams can be administered under a variety of Ministries (e.g. Mining, Environment, Water), which leads to a mix of regulatory expertise for tailings dams.
- Regulation of tailings dams is often an "add-on" to regulation of water dams and common regulations may apply.
- "Best Available Technology" guidelines become prescriptive and a regulatory requirement even if the technology has changed or improved.
- Regulations developed by different states or provinces may not be aligned and may also conflict with national regulations or national dam safety guidelines.
- Written regulations for tailings dams are general inadequate, with the following common deficiencies:
 - Regulations are not clearly written and measurable and, therefore, not easily regulated or enforced.
 - Regulations may adopt certain components from dam safety guidelines or calculation procedures from technical guidance documents, which may not be universally applicable to all dams.
 - Regulations do not address the important dam safety components and critical controls.
 - Regulations are difficult to change (parliamentary or state governments) and not easily adaptable to ongoing technological developments.

MEM – Minimum Design Standards

- **10.1.8** (1) Seismic and flood design criteria for tailings storage facilities and dams shall be determined by the engineer of record based on the consequence classification determined under section 10.1.7 of this code in consideration of the HSRC Guidance Document, subject to the following criteria:
- (a) for tailings storage facilities that store water or saturated tailings,
- (i) the minimum seismic design criteria shall be a return period of 1 in 2475 years,
- (ii) the minimum flood design criteria shall be a return period 1/3rd of the way between the 1 in 975year event and the probable maximum flood, and
- (iii) a facility that stores the inflow design flood shall use a minimum design event duration of 72 hours;
- (b) for tailings storage facilities that cannot retain water or saturated tailings,
- (a) the minimum seismic design criteria shall be a return period of 1 in 975 years, and
- (b) the water management design shall include an assessment of tailings facility erosion and surface water diversions as well as measures to prevent impounded tailings from becoming saturated that consider the consequence classification as determined under section 10.1.7 of this code.
 - (2) The environmental design flood criteria shall be determined by a Professional Engineer in consultation with other qualified professionals.

Design Slopes

10.1.9 For a tailings storage facility design that has an overall downstream slope steeper than 2H:1V, the manager shall submit justification by the engineer of record for the selected design slope and receive authorization by the chief inspector prior to construction.

Minimum Static Factor of Safety

10.1.10 For a tailings storage facility design that has a calculated static factor of safety of less than 1.5, the manager shall submit justification by the engineer of record for the selected factor of safety and receive authorization by the chief inspector prior to construction.

Breach and Inundation Study/Failure Runout Assessment

10.1.11 A tailings storage facility shall have a breach and inundation study or a failure runout assessment prior to commencing operation, or as required by the chief inspector.

Water Balance and Water Management Plan

10.1.12 (1) The manager shall ensure that a tailings storage facility has a water balance and water management plan for the permitted life of mine that is prepared by a qualified person.

(2) The manager shall notify the chief inspector if any unpermitted discharge of water occurs or is required.

Quantifiable Performance Objectives

10.1.13 The manager shall ensure that quantifiable performance objectives for a tailings storage facility are determined and reviewed by the engineer of record and the TSF qualified person.

MEM – Permitted Sites - Governance



- (a) develop and maintain a **Tailings Management System** that considers the HSRC Guidance Document and includes regular system audits,
- (b) designate a **TSF qualified person** for safe management of all Tailings Storage Facilities,
- (c) establish an **Independent Tailings Review Board**, unless exempted by the chief inspector.
- (d) review annually the <u>risk assessment</u> for all tailings storage facilities and associated dams to ensure that the quantifiable performance objectives and operating controls are current and manage the facility risks,
- (e) maintain tailings storage facility **emergency preparedness and response plans** integrated into the Mine Emergency Response Plan required under section 3.7.1 of this code, and
- (f) ensure **document records** for key information are maintained and readily available for tailings storage facilities.

(2) The composition of an **Independent Tailings Review Board** established under subsection (1) (c) shall be commensurate with the complexity of the tailings storage facility in consideration of the HSRC Guidance Document.

(3) The manager shall submit the Terms of Reference for the Independent Tailings Review Board including the qualifications of the board members to the chief inspector for approval.

(4) The terms of reference for the Independent Tailings Review Board shall be developed or updated as required in consideration of the review under subsection (1) (d).

MEM - Reporting



Annual Reporting

- **10.4.4** The owner, agent or manager shall submit one or more annual reports in a summary form specified by the chief inspector or by the conditions of the permit by March 31 of the following year on the following:
- (a) reclamation and environmental monitoring work performed under section 10.1.3 (e) of this code;
- (b) tailings storage facility and dam safety inspections performed under section 10.5.3 of this code;
- (c) the **activities of the Independent Tailings Review Board** established under section 10.4.2 (1) (c) of this code that describes the following:
- (i) a summary of the reviews conducted that year including the number of meetings and attendees;
- (ii) whether the work reviewed that year meets the Board's expectations of reasonably good practice;
- (iii) any conditions that compromise Tailings Storage Facility integrity or of non-compliance with recommendations from the engineer of record;
- (iv) signed acknowledgement by the members of the Independent Tailings Review Board, confirming that the report is a true and accurate representation of their reviews;
- (d) a summary of **tailings storage facility and dam safety recommendations** including a scheduled completion date;
- (f) updates to the tailings storage facilities register as required;
- (g) other information as directed by the chief inspector.



SUMMARY

- Regulations should require good practice
- Regulations should not be too prescriptive
- Minimum standards to protect the public
- Responsibilities of Owner and Design Engineer need to be clear and accountable



Muchas Gracias Harvey McLeod Klohn Crippen Berger Ltd. hmcleod@klohn.com